

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILI		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,446 02/		02/10/2004	Michel E. Mawad	1366-00113	9404	
23505	7590	07/01/2004		EXAMINER		
CONLEY	ROSE, P	.C.	LACYK, JOHN P			
P. O. BOX HOUSTON		253-3267	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	.,		3736			

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- e</del> >			
		10/775	,446	MAWAD, MICHEL E.				
(	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit				
		John P		3736				
The Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on	the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE MAII  - Extensions after SIX (6  - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to rank y reply r	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of time may be available under the provisions of 5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the provisions of the provision of the	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and	event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<b>1.</b>			
Status								
1) ☐ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed	on						
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition (	of Claims							
4a) 5)	im(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the ap Of the above claim(s) is/are im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restricti	withdrawn from						
Application I	Papers							
10)□ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are: slicant may not request that any objectionacement drawing sheet(s) including to oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or ion to the drawing(s he correction is req	s) be held in abeyand uired if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	1).			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attach								
2) Notice of I 3) Informatio	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pos)/Mail Date 2/10/2004.		Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/775,446

Art Unit: 3736

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6, line 3, "said inner core and said buffer layer" lack positive antecedent basis.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 5,498,227. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because pending claims are the same as the patented claims except for language to the inner core and the outer layer of the patented claims has been deleted making the pending claims broader in scope. Further the elimination of an

Application/Control Number: 10/775,446 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

element and subsequent loss of its function is an obvious modification to one skilled in the art if the remaining elements perform the same functions as before.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Culver et al. Culver et al discloses an implantable wire(11) that has a radioactive material (18) deposited on it to deliver a predetermined dosage of radiation in the body. While Culver et al does not specifically teach implanting the wire in a body tissue site, this is directed to the intended use of the device and Culver et al is clearly capable of being implanted into tissue.
- 7. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Good.

Good teaches a radioactive implant that can be a wire for implanting into tissue in the body. The implant can be for permanent implantation and also teaches that it is known

Application/Control Number: 10/775,446

Art Unit: 3736

to use a ferromagnetic material (See abstract, column 8, lines 11-12, column 45, lines 49-52).

8. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischell et al '166.

Fischell et al discloses a radioactive stent that is implanted into the body. The stent is delivered to the site by a delivery catheter. Normally a balloon catheter is also used to inflate and thereby expand the stent to release the stent from being attached and place it in the body.

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Good.

Good teaches (column 13, lines 9-12) that many different layers of different materials can be used, therefore a modification to include layers of materials as claimed would have been obvious since this would merely be the selection of preferred materials based upon their suitability for the intended use.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,446

Art Unit: 3736

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P Lacyk whose telephone number is 703-308-2995.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John P Lácyk Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3736